



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/463,271	01/24/2000	ANDRE KAUP	P00.0103	. 6121	
29177	7590 01/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			CARTER, AARON W		
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625	2625	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/200	DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/463,271	KAUP, ANDRE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aaron W Carter	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 January 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2000 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	r (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

14

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 3, 2005 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,115,717 to Mehrotra et al. ("Mehrotra") (already of record) in view of USPN 5,987,459 to Swanson et al. ("Swanson") (already of record).

As to claim 11, Mehrotra discloses a method for storing search features (column 2, lines 3-4, wherein metadata corresponds to search features) of an image sequence (column 3, lines 57-64), said method comprising the steps of:

Providing that said image sequence include individual objects, said individual objects being at least one of audio objects and video objects (column 3, lines 57-65, wherein each image sequence contains individual objects in the form of individual images which corresponds to individual objects and which corresponds to video objects);

determining said search features from said image sequence (column 3, lines 20-24 and column 3, line 65 – column 4, line 2, wherein open space metadata corresponds to a search feature and for each image all the open space metadata is computed for all the open spaces present in each image corresponding to features); and

storing said features together with said image sequence wherein separate search feature sets are provided for each individual object (column 3, lines 24-26 and lines 57-60, wherein each image has its own separate search feature set in the form of open space metadata and is stored along each image in the image sequence).

Mehrotra does not disclose expressly that the individual object, in this case each image, is coded according to one of an MPEG standard and an ITU standard.

Swanson discloses storing search features that there are disadvantages of attaching search features to a compressed image file (18) either as a prefix (20) or appended (20) to the file (Figure 1 and column 3, lines 19-27). He goes on to discuss several advantages of storing the search features directly into the image (26, Figure 1 and column 3, lines 28-39) in the image is preferably coded using the JPEG algorithm (column 10, lines 24-25, wherein JPEG corresponds to an ITU standard).

Mehrotra & Swanson are combinable because they are from the same art of image processing and specifically image storage and retrieval.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to code the image disclosed by Mehrotra using an ITU standard, in this case the JPEG standard.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide the advantage of keeping search features in close proximity of the image sequence for increased retrieval time and by storing search features in the image sequence storage space required could be reduced (Swanson, column 1, lines 40-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Mehrotra with Swanson to obtain the invention as specified in claim 11.

As to claim 12, the combination of Mehrotra and Swanson discloses the method as claimed in claim 11, wherein said search features are at least one of audio data and video data of said of said image (Mehrotra, column 5, lines 9-12 and Fig. 5 wherein metadata contains video data of the image).

As to claim 13, the combination of Mehrotra and Swanson discloses the method as claimed in claim 11, wherein said search features comprise a reference to an image within said image sequence for assisting in accessing said image within said image sequence (Mehrotra, Fig. 5, wherein each image is provided an image-ID or inherently a frame number).

As to claim 19, the combination of Mehrotra and Swanson discloses the method as claimed in claim 11, wherein separate search features for several objects that are contained in said image sequence according to image coding standards are respectively stored together with said image sequence (Mehrotra, column 6, lines 60-62).

As to claim 20, the combination of Mehrotra and Swanson discloses the method as claimed in claim 11, wherein said search features can be unambiguously identified by a predeterminable start code (Mehrotra, column 12, lines 20-22 and Fig. 9).

As to claims 14-16, please refer to the rejection made for claim 11 above.

As to claim 17, Mehrotra and Swanson combined provide us with the method of claim 16, comprising of storing search features in an image sequence. Swanson discuss the addition of the search features as a prefix ("file header", column 3, lines 56-62) to a file created preferably according to the JPEG compression standard (column 4, lines 24-25), but neglects to explicitly mention the search features can be stored as a prefix to an intra-image created according to the MPEG standard. The Examiner takes Official Notice that the intra-image according to MPEG

standard is well known in the art as a still image contained in the MPEG file, as well as the JPEG standard being a well known coding technique in the field of individual images. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to create a MPEG file of the audiovisual sequence discussed by Mehrotra and to store search feature as prefix to an intraimage using technique disclosed by Swanson. This would provide the advantage of reduced storage space.

As to claim 18, the combination of Mehrotra and Swanson discloses a method according to claim 17, wherein each image scene of said image sequence is stored in a database (Mehrotra, Fig. 1, element 18 and column 6, lines 60-62).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron W Carter whose telephone number is (703) 306-4060 or at (571) 272-7445 after March 31, 2005. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3:30 am (Mon. - Fri.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/463,271

Art Unit: 2625

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

awc Aw(

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600